

From: [P Prostyakov](#)
To: [AO Code and Conduct Rules](#)
Subject: Comment on Rules of Conduct
Date: Sunday, November 11, 2018 1:07:57 PM

Peter A. Prostyakov, 64, a US citizen residing at 1816 Derry Lane, Carmel, IN 46032.

Proposed change: Commentary on Rules 21(b)(1)(B) and (b)(2) (lines 19 to 27 on page 52) should be amended as follows:

19 Rules 21(b)(1)(B) and (b)(2) are intended to fill a jurisdictional gap as to review of
20 a dismissal or a conclusion of a complaint under Rule 19(b)(1) or (4). Where one or
21 more members of a judicial council reviewing a petition have dissented, the
complainant
22 or the subject judge has the right to petition for review by the Committee. Under
Rule
23 21(b)(2), the Committee may review such a dismissal or conclusion in its sole
24 discretion, whether or not a dissent occurred, and only as to the appointment of a
25 special committee. Any review under Rule 21(b)(2) will be conducted as soon as
26 practicable ~~after the dismissal or conclusion at issue.~~ ~~but No~~ no party has a right to
27 petition the Committee for such review, ~~and such review will be rare.~~

The proposed full sentence—lines 25–27—is:

Any review under Rule 21(b)(2) will be conducted as soon as practicable, but no party has a right to petition the Committee for such review.