

SUMMARY OF THE
REPORT OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE
COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

This report is submitted for the record and includes the following items for the information of the Judicial Conference:

- Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure pp. 2-3
- Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure pp. 3-4
- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure..... pp. 4-5
- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure..... pp. 5-6
- Federal Rules of Evidencep. 7
- Judiciary Strategic Planning pp. 7-8

<p>NOTICE NO RECOMMENDATIONS PRESENTED HEREIN REPRESENT THE POLICY OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE UNLESS APPROVED BY THE CONFERENCE ITSELF.</p>
--

REPORT OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

**TO THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES AND MEMBERS OF THE
JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES:**

The Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure (Standing Committee or Committee) met on January 4, 2024. All members participated.

Representing the advisory committees were Judge Jay S. Bybee, chair, and Professor Edward Hartnett, Reporter, Advisory Committee on Appellate Rules; Judge Rebecca Buehler Connelly, chair, Professor S. Elizabeth Gibson, Reporter, and Professor Laura B. Bartell, Associate Reporter, Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules; Judge Robin L. Rosenberg, chair, Professor Richard L. Marcus, Reporter, Professor Andrew Bradt, Associate Reporter, and Professor Edward Cooper, consultant, Advisory Committee on Civil Rules; Judge James C. Dever III, chair, Professor Sara Sun Beale, Reporter, and Professor Nancy J. King, Associate Reporter, Advisory Committee on Criminal Rules; and Judge Patrick J. Schiltz, chair, Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules.

Also participating in the meeting were Professor Catherine T. Struve, the Standing Committee's Reporter; Professor Daniel R. Coquillette, Professor Bryan A. Garner, and Professor Joseph Kimble, consultants to the Standing Committee; H. Thomas Byron III, the Standing Committee's Secretary; Allison A. Bruff, Bridget M. Healy, and Scott Myers, Rules Committee Staff Counsel; Zachary T. Hawari, Law Clerk to the Standing Committee; John S. Cooke, Director, and Dr. Tim Reagan, Senior Research Associate, Federal Judicial Center; and

NOTICE

**NO RECOMMENDATIONS PRESENTED HEREIN REPRESENT THE POLICY OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE
UNLESS APPROVED BY THE CONFERENCE ITSELF.**

Elizabeth J. Shapiro, Deputy Director, Federal Programs Branch, Civil Division, Department of Justice, on behalf of Deputy Attorney General Lisa O. Monaco.

In addition to its general business, including a review of the status of pending rule amendments in different stages of the Rules Enabling Act process and pending legislation affecting the rules, the Standing Committee received and responded to reports from the five advisory committees. The Committee also received an update on the coordinated work among the Appellate, Bankruptcy, Civil, and Criminal Rules Committees to consider two suggestions affecting all four Advisory Committees—suggestions to allow expanded access to electronic filing by pro se litigants and to modify the presumptive deadlines for electronic filing. (The Advisory Committees had removed the latter suggestion from their agendas, and the Committee approved the disbanding of the joint subcommittee that had been formed to consider it.) Additionally, the Committee received a report from a joint subcommittee (composed of representatives from the Bankruptcy, Civil, and Criminal Rules Committees) concerning a suggestion to adopt nationwide rules governing admission to practice before the U.S. district courts. The Standing Committee also heard a report concerning coordinated efforts by several advisory committees concerning a suggestion to require complete redaction of social security numbers and an update from its Secretary on the 2024 report to Congress on the adequacy of the privacy rules.

FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

Information Items

The Advisory Committee met on October 19, 2023. The Advisory Committee discussed several issues, including possible amendments to Rule 29 (Brief of An Amicus Curiae) and Appellate Form 4 (Affidavit Accompanying Motion for Permission to Appeal In Forma Pauperis). In addition, the Advisory Committee considered suggestions regarding intervention

on appeal and the redaction of social security numbers in court filings. The Advisory Committee removed from its agenda suggestions regarding the record in agency cases and regarding filing deadlines.

FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE

Rules and Form Approved for Publication and Comment

The Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules submitted proposed amendments to Rule 1007(h) (Interests in Property Acquired or Arising After a Petition Is Filed), Rule 3018 (Chapter 9 or 11—Accepting or Rejecting a Plan), and Official Form 410S1 (Notice of Mortgage Payment Change) with a recommendation that they be published for public comment in August 2024. The Standing Committee unanimously approved the Advisory Committee’s recommendation.

Rule 1007(h) (Interests in Property Acquired or Arising After a Petition Is Filed)

The proposed amendment to Subdivision (h) would clarify that a court may require an individual chapter 11 debtor or a chapter 12 or chapter 13 debtor to file a supplemental schedule to report property or income that comes into the estate post-petition under § 1115, 1207, or 1306.

Rule 3018(c) (Form for Accepting or Rejecting a Plan; Procedure When More Than One Plan Is Filed)

Subdivision (c) would be amended to provide more flexibility in how a creditor or equity security holder may indicate acceptance, or a change or withdrawal of a rejection, of a plan in a chapter 9 or chapter 11 case. In addition to allowing acceptance by written ballot, the amended rule would also authorize a court to permit a creditor or equity security holder to accept a plan (or change or withdraw its rejection of the plan) by means of its attorney’s or authorized agent’s statement on the record, including by stipulation or by oral representation at the confirmation hearing. A conforming change would be made to subdivision (a)(3) (“Changing or Withdrawing an Acceptance or Rejection”).

Official Form 410S1 (Notice of Mortgage Payment Change)

The amended form would provide space for an annual Home Equity Line of Credit notice.

Information Items

The Advisory Committee met on September 14, 2023. In addition to the recommendation discussed above, the Advisory Committee continued its consideration of a suggestion to require redaction of the entire social security number from filings in bankruptcy and gave preliminary consideration to a suggestion for a new rule addressing a court's decision to allow remote testimony in contested matters in bankruptcy cases.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Information Items

The Advisory Committee on Civil Rules met on October 17, 2023, and considered several information items. The Advisory Committee continued to discuss Rule 41 (Dismissal of Actions), and in particular whether to amend the rule to address caselaw limiting Rule 41(a) dismissals to dismissals of an entire action. It also discussed the work of the discovery subcommittee, which is considering proposals to amend Rule 45 (Subpoena) and to address filing under seal. The Advisory Committee formed a new subcommittee to study cross-border discovery. The Advisory Committee also heard updates from its subcommittee on Rule 7.1 (Disclosure Statement). The Advisory Committee commenced consideration of suggestions concerning civil case assignment in the district courts.

Other topics discussed by the Advisory Committee include the Bankruptcy Rules Committee's consideration of a suggestion to permit remote testimony in contested matters, a suggestion to amend Rule 62(b) (Stay of Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment), a suggestion to amend Rule 54(d)(2)(B) (Judgment; Costs) with respect to attorney-fee awards in Social Security

cases, and a suggestion to amend Rule 81(c) (Applicability of the Rules in General; Removed Actions) with respect to jury demands in removed cases.

The Advisory Committee also discussed and removed from its agenda suggestions regarding Rule 10 (Form of Pleadings), Rule 11 (Signing Pleadings, Motions, and Other Papers; Representations to the Court; Sanctions), Rule 26(a)(1) (Initial Disclosure), Rule 30(b)(6) (Depositions by Oral Examination), Rule 53 (Masters), and Rule 60(b)(1) (Relief from a Judgment or Order), and a proposed new rule on contempt.

At upcoming hearings, the Civil Rules Committee will hear testimony from many witnesses on the proposed amendments that have been published for public comment—namely, proposed amendments to Rule 16(b)(3) (Pretrial Conferences; Scheduling; Management) and Rule 26(f)(3) (Duty to Disclose; General Provisions Governing Discovery) and proposed new Rule 16.1 (Multidistrict Litigation).

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Information Items

The Advisory Committee on Criminal Rules met on October 26, 2023, and considered several information items. The Advisory Committee continues to consider a possible amendment to Rule 17 (Subpoena), prompted by a suggestion from the White Collar Crime Committee of the New York City Bar Association. The Advisory Committee’s Rule 17 subcommittee will develop a draft of a proposed amendment to clarify the rule and to expand the scope of parties’ authority to subpoena material from third parties before trial.

The Committee also considered a recent request from 38 members of Congress to authorize broadcasting of proceedings in the cases of *United States v. Donald J. Trump*. The Committee concluded that it does not have the authority under the Rules Enabling Act to exempt specific cases from Rule 53 (Courtroom Photographing and Broadcasting Prohibited), which

generally prohibits the broadcasting of judicial proceedings from the courtroom in criminal cases. Further, any amendment to Rule 53 to allow exceptions for particular cases—for example, the cases of *United States v. Donald J. Trump*—would not take effect earlier than December 1, 2026, due to the requirements of the rulemaking process set forth by the Rules Enabling Act and Judicial Conference Procedures. The Committee received a later suggestion from a media coalition to amend Rule 53 to permit broadcasting of criminal proceedings. Given the timing of its receipt, the proposal was not discussed by the Committee at its October 2023 meeting, but the chair appointed a subcommittee to consider the proposal going forward.

The Advisory Committee decided to remove from its agenda a proposal submitted by the Federal Criminal Procedure Committee of the American College of Trial Lawyers to amend Rule 23 (Jury or Nonjury Trial) to eliminate the requirement that the government consent to a defendant’s waiver of a jury trial. In order for a bench trial to occur, current Rule 23 requires a written waiver by the defendant of the right to trial by jury, the government’s consent, and the court’s approval. Among a variety of concerns discussed by the Advisory Committee, one relates to a defendant’s ability to obtain credit for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) after a jury trial held solely to preserve an antecedent issue for appeal when the government has declined to either accept a conditional plea or consent to a bench trial. Though some members of the Advisory Committee voiced support for clarifying that judges may award acceptance of responsibility in these circumstances, members saw this as a Guidelines issue, not a rules issue. The Advisory Committee expressed support for making the United States Sentencing Commission aware of the concerns expressed by some members of the Committee. After discussion, the Standing Committee (over one member’s objection) determined that the Advisory Committee chair could convey the members’ concerns to the Sentencing Commission.

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

Information Items

The Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules met on October 27, 2023. In connection with the meeting, the Advisory Committee held a panel discussion with several Evidence scholars on suggestions for changes to the Evidence Rules, followed by a presentation by experts on artificial intelligence and “deep fakes.” Following the panel discussion and presentation, the Advisory Committee discussed the potential rule amendments raised by the presenters. In particular, the Advisory Committee decided to consider a possible amendment to delete Rule 609(a)(1), which allows admission of felony convictions not involving dishonesty or false statement, and another possible amendment that would add a new Rule 416 to the Evidence Rules to govern the admissibility of evidence of false accusations. In addition, the Advisory Committee will consider a possible amendment to Rule 801(d)(1) (Definitions That Apply to This Article; Exclusions from Hearsay) to provide for broader admissibility of prior statements of testifying witnesses. The Advisory Committee considered but decided not to pursue a possible amendment to Rule 803(4) (Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay) that would have narrowed the hearsay exception for statements made for purposes of medical treatment or diagnosis by excluding from that exception statements made to a doctor for purposes of litigation.

JUDICIARY STRATEGIC PLANNING

The Committee was asked to provide recommendations for discussion topics at the next long-range planning meeting scheduled for March 11, 2024 and future long-range planning meetings of Judicial Conference committee chairs. Recommendations on behalf of the

Committee were communicated to Judge Scott Coogler, the judiciary planning coordinator, by letter dated January 11, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John D. Bates", with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke at the end.

John D. Bates, Chair

Paul Barbadoro	Lisa O. Monaco
Elizabeth J. Cabraser	Andrew J. Pincus
Louis A. Chaiten	Gene E.K. Pratter
William J. Kayatta, Jr.	D. Brooks Smith
Edward M. Mansfield	Kosta Stojilkovic
Troy A. McKenzie	Jennifer G. Zipps
Patricia Ann Millett	