Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell,	Oral (Incl. Microphone,	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax,		Number of Persons	
	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ³
	-	-	-		-	-	- -
TOTAL	2,753	2,578	9	32	129	4,448	590
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS							
FEDERAL TOTAL	440	416	3	7	13	1,295	114
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	4	2	-	-	2	35	2
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	2	2	-	-	-	7	-
RIZONA	10	9	-	1	-	29	-
RKANSAS, EASTERN	7	7	-	-	-	13	-
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	5	4	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	17	15	-	-	2	40	-
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	12	11	-	-	1	17	-
COLORADO	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	26	26	-	-	-	138	17
ELAWARE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	11	11	-	-	-	28	-
LORIDA, MIDDLE	4	4	-	-	-	20	-
LORIDA, NORTHERN	6	6	-	-	-	24	-
LORIDA, SOUTHERN	9	8	-	-	1	-	-
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	4	4	-	-	-	13	-
IAWAII	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
OAHO	2	2	-	-	-	12	-
LINOIS, CENTRAL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINOIS, NORTHERN	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
NDIANA, NORTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NDIANA, SOUTHERN	11	7	1	-	3	82	-
OWA, NORTHERN	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OWA, SOUTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	8	-
ANSAS	10	9	-	-	1	11	-
ENTUCKY, EASTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ENTUCKY, WESTERN	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OUISIANA, EASTERN	7	7	-	-	-	9	-
OUISIANA, MIDDLE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
OUISIANA, WESTERN	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
IAINE	4	4	-	-	-	4	-
IARYLAND	12	11	-	1	-	27	-
IASSACHUSETTS	9	9	-	-	-	16	6
IICHIGAN, EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	3	-
IINNESOTA	3	3	_	-	-	4	_

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell,	Oral (Incl. Microphone,	(Incl. Digital		Number of P	
	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ⁵
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Conf	tinued)						
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	4	4	_	_	_	7	_
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	1	1	_	_	_	-	_
MISSOURI, EASTERN	5	5	_	_	_	_	_
MISSOURI, WESTERN	4	4	_	_	_	24	_
NEBRASKA	2	2	_	_	_	-	_
NEVADA	4	3	_	1	_	5	_
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NP	-	_		_	-	_
NEW JERSEY	17	16	_	_	1	57	3
NEW MEXICO	5	5	- -	<u>-</u>	· -	26	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN	3	3	_	_	_	11	1
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	4	4	- -	<u>-</u>	_	16	1
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	14	14	_	_	_	25	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN	2	2	_	_	_	-	_
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
IORTH CAROLINA, VESTERN	1	-	-	-	1	3	2
IORTH DAKOTA	3	3	-	-	-	22	-
OHIO, NORTHERN	18	16	-	2	-	79	14
OHIO, SOUTHERN	6	6	-	-	-	33	1
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	5	5	-	-	-	22	3
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	3	3	-	-	-	6	-
DREGON	11	11	-	-	-	74	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	4	4	-	-	-	14	1
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	2	2	-	-	-	11	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	5	5	-	-	-	16	2
PUERTO RICO	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	3	3	-	-	-	10	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	11	11	-	-	-	7	-
ENNESSEE, EASTERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ENNESSEE, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
ENNESSEE, WESTERN	7	7	-	-	-	3	-
EXAS, EASTERN	3	3	-	-	-	12	-
EXAS, NORTHERN	7	6	1	-	-	-	-
EXAS, SOUTHERN	21	19	1	-	1	-	-
EXAS, WESTERN	9	8	-	1	-	21	-
JTAH	6	6	-	-	-	19	3
IRGIN ISLANDS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRGINIA, EASTERN	3	2	-	1	-	201	51
VASHINGTON, WESTERN	2	2	-	-	-	22	7
VEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	1	1	-	-	-	1	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)

		oundary i ii	nough December	01, 2010 (0011			
Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number Arrested	of Persons Convicted ³
- J	<u> </u>	,			Combination	711100100	Convictor
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Conf							
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	7	7	-	-	-	55	3
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	19	3	-	-	16	70	23
CALIFORNIA							
ALAMEDA	31	31	-	-	-	29	4
CONTRA COSTA	5	5	-	-	-	8	-
FRESNO	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	14	14	-	-	-	13	1
LOS ANGELES	123	123	-	-	-	128	-
MERCED	36	36	-	-	-	73	13
MONTEREY	13	13	-	-	-	17	8
ORANGE	31	31	-	-	-	4	-
RIVERSIDE	640	640	-	-	-	315	-
SACRAMENTO	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	58	58	-	-	-	89	9
SAN DIEGO	19	19	-	-	-	32	16
SAN JOAQUIN	28	28	-	-	-	67	2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	2	-	-	-	1	1
SANTA BARBARA	3	3	-	-	-	10	-
SANTA CLARA	13	13	-	-	-	6	-
STANISLAUS	5	5	-	-	-	13	-
TULARE	43	43	-	-	-	84	-
VENTURA	59	59	-	-	-	35	4
YOLO	1	1	-	-	-	14	-
COLORADO							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	28	1	-	-	27	34	15
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MONTROSE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	6	6	-	-	-	11	-
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	6	6	-	-	-	51	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number Arrested	of Persons Convicted ³	
			.,		Combination	Airestea	Convicted	
COLORADO (Continued)								
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT ARAPAHOE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT WELD)	1	-	-	-	1	28	12	
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT MESA)	3	3	-	-	-	11	11	
LORIDA								
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DUVAL)	5	5	-	-	-	8	-	
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT LAKE/MARION)	8	8	-	-	-	64	-	
STH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT PINELLAS)	4	4	-	-	-	5	-	
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT VOLUSIA)	9	8	-	-	1	112	10	
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ALACHUA)	7	7	-	-	-	5	1	
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	9	9	-	-	-	101	6	
0TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT POLK)	16	16	-	-	-	286	-	
1TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DADE)	11	1	-	10	-	2	-	
3TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT HILLSBOROUGH)	15	15	-	-	-	7	-	
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BAY)	3	2	-	-	1	63	-	
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BROWARD)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	5	5	-	-	-	15	6	
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT SAINT LUCIE)	3	3	-	-	-	16	4	
0TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT LEE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	51	45	-	-	6	250	4	
GEORGIA								
IBB	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
HATHAM	9	8	-	-	1	10	-	
HEROKEE OBB	24 3	24 3	-	-	-	-	-	
AWAII								
1AUI	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	
DAHO								
EMHI	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)

				. ,	,				
Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number Arrested	of Persons Convicted ³		
	•	•	•		•	•	•		
ILLINOIS									
COOK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
DUPAGE	2	2	-	-	-	15	-		
EFFINGHAM	1	-	-	-	1	-	-		
AKE	5	5	-	-	-	-	-		
MENARD	2	-	-	-	2	2	2		
RICHLAND	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
WINNEBAGO	5	2	2	-	1	2	-		
INDIANA									
MORGAN	10	10	-	-	-	26	-		
KANSAS									
FINNEY	1	1	-	-	-	3	-		
LOUISIANA									
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
32ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (TERREBONNE)	1	1	-	-	-	4	-		
MARYLAND									
ANNE ARUNDEL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
BALTIMORE	15	15	-	-	-	-	-		
HOWARD	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MONTGOMERY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
SOMERSET	1	-	-	-	1	-	-		
MASSACHUSETTS									
SUFFOLK	1	1	-	-	-	6	-		
MINNESOTA									
RAMSEY	1	1	-	-	-	1	1		
NEBRASKA									
DOUGLAS	7	7	-	-	-	-	-		
NEVADA									
	404	180	-	-	-	117	46		
CLARK	184								
	184 1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
DOUGLAS			-	-	-	-	-		
DOUGLAS NEW JERSEY			-	-	-		-		
DOUGLAS NEW JERSEY ATLANTIC	1	1	- - -	- - -	-	-	-		
CLARK DOUGLAS NEW JERSEY ATLANTIC BEDFORD BERGEN	1	1	- - -	- - -	- - -		- - -		

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone:	Oral (Incl.	Electronic (Incl. Digital		Number of Persons	
	Intercepts	Standard, Cell,	Microphone,	Pager, Fax,			
	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ³
NEW JERSEY (Continued)							
CUMBERLAND	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	8	8	-	-	-	63	1
GLOUCESTER	10	10	-	-	-	4	-
HUDSON	13	13	-	-	-	30	-
MIDDLESEX	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	2	2	-	_	-	3	1
DCEAN	21	21	_	-	-	34	-
SALEM	5	4	1	_	_	4	-
SOMERSET	NP	-		_	_	· -	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	_	_	_	7	-	_
JNION	1	- 1	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	_
	ı	ľ	-	•			
IEW YORK							
BRONX	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
KINGS	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
IASSAU	3	3	-	-	-	21	5
NEW YORK	68	37	-	2	29	150	85
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	123	110	-	13	-	102	36
ONEIDA	6	6	-	-	-	12	9
QUEENS	201	200	-	-	1	93	73
ROCKLAND	2	2	-	-	-	45	18
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	6	-	-	2	10	3
SUFFOLK	31	30	-	-	1	38	18
VESTCHESTER	5	3	-	-	2	5	-
IORTH CAROLINA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	_	-	1	8	-
	·						
OHIO	ND						
HAMILTON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORAIN	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
DREGON							
MULTNOMAH	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
BERKS	10	0	4			23	
	10	9	1	-	-		-
MONTGOMERY	14	13	1	-	-	76	14
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	2	- Dogo 6 of

Page 6 of 7

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell,	Oral (Incl. Microphone,	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax,		Number	of Persons
	Installed ¹	Mobile)	Eavesdrop)	Computer)	Combination ²	Arrested	Convicted ³
TENNESSEE							
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SULLIVAN)	2	2	-	-	-	16	-
3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GREENE, HAMBLEN, HANCOCK, HAWKINS)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ANDERSON)	15	-	-	-	15	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	11	11	-	-	-	5	-
16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RUTHERFORD)	3	3	-	-	-	16	3
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	15	15	-	-	-	26	-
23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DICKSON)	7	7	-	-	-	21	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	1	1	-	-	-	10	8
TEXAS							
HIDALGO	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA							
BARBOUR	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN							
MILWAUKEE	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

²Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

³Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.